



ELSEVIER

Computational Geometry 16 (2000) 95–101

Computational
Geometry

Theory and Applications

www.elsevier.nl/locate/comgeo

A class of point-sets with few k -sets

Helmut Alt ^{a,*}, Stefan Felsner ^a, Ferran Hurtado ^{b,1}, Marc Noy ^b, Emo Welzl ^c^a Institut für Informatik, Freie Universität Berlin, Takustrasse 9, D-14195 Berlin, Germany^b Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada II, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Pau Gargallo 5, 08028 Barcelona, Spain^c Theoretische Informatik, ETH Zentrum, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

Communicated by J.-R. Sack; submitted 20 January 1999; accepted 17 January 2000

Abstract

A k -set of a finite set S of points in the plane is a subset of cardinality k that can be separated from the rest by a straight line. The question of how many k -sets a set of n points can contain is a long-standing open problem where a lower bound of $\Omega(n \log k)$ and an upper bound of $O(nk^{1/3})$ are known today.

Under certain restrictions on the set S , for example, if all points lie on a convex curve, the number of k -sets is linear. We generalize this observation by showing that if the points of S lie on a constant number of convex curves, the number of k -sets remains linear in n . © 2000 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Convex curve; k -set; Lovász' procedure

1. Introduction and definitions

Let S be a set of n points in the plane. A k -set ($1 \leq k \leq n - 1$) is a subset of S of cardinality k that can be separated from the rest by a straight line. The simple and natural question of how many k -sets a set of n points can contain has been considered for more than 25 years and has inspired considerable research. Nevertheless, it is still not solved completely and remains one of the most prominent open problems in combinatorial geometry.

The first upper bound is due to Lovász [7] who showed that the number of k -sets can be at most $O(n\sqrt{k})$ which is $O(n^{3/2})$. Erdős et al. [6] constructed a family of sets having $\Omega(n \log k)$ k -sets which is $\Omega(n \log n)$ for suitable values of k . These bounds were found independently by Edelsbrunner and Welzl [5].

For a long time the gap between lower and upper bound could not be narrowed until Pach et al. [8] showed an upper bound of $O(n\sqrt{k}/\log^* k)$. More significant progress was made only recently by Dey [3]

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: alt@inf.fu-berlin.de (H. Alt), felsner@inf.fu-berlin.de (S. Felsner).

¹ Partially supported by Proyecto MEC-DGES-SEUID PB96-0005-C02-02.

who proved an upper bound of $O(n\sqrt[3]{k})$ which is $O(n^{4/3})$. The underlying ideas of this result are worked out and generalized in [2]. Very recently, Tóth [10] improved the lower bound to $\Omega(ne^{c\sqrt{k}})$ for some constant c .

Various generalizations of the k -set problem or its dual formulation, namely determining the number of cells at the k -level of the arrangement of a set of n straight lines, have been considered. Among them are k -sets in higher dimensions or k -levels of curves in two dimensions and surfaces in three dimensions [1,9].

Under certain restrictions on the set S it is possible to prove better upper bounds on the number of k -sets. For example, if all points of S lie on a straight line, there are only two k -sets. If all points of S lie on a convex curve, S contains at most n k -sets. Here, we will generalize the latter observation by showing that if the points of S lie on a constant number of convex curves, the number of k -sets remains linear in n .

In the following let S be a fixed set of n points in the plane and let $k \leq n - 2$. An oriented straight line l is called a k -line of S iff the open halfplane right of l contains exactly k points of S . Of special interest are k -lines determined by two points $p, q \in S$. The segment \overline{pq} oriented from p to q of such a line is called a k -segment of S .

Let K be a k -set of S and let l be a line separating K from $S \setminus K$. Allow l to move and rotate subject to the restriction that no point of S changes from one side to the other and note that l can reach exactly one position where it contains one point from $p \in K$ and one point $q \in S \setminus K$ such that the corresponding $(k - 1)$ -segment is oriented from p to q . This is a bijection between k -sets and $(k - 1)$ -segments, hence, the number of k -sets equals the number of $(k - 1)$ -segments.

To obtain bounds on the number of k -segments we make use of two powerful results from the classical article [6].

Alternation lemma. *If we order all oriented lines supporting k -segments containing a point $p \in S$ in counterclockwise order, then between any two lines supporting outgoing segments there is a line supporting an incoming segment and between any two lines supporting incoming segments there is a line supporting an outgoing segment.*

For the proof consider a line rotating around p . Just before an outgoing segment the line has k points on its positive side and just after the segment it has $k + 1$ points on its positive side. So, between two outgoing segments the number of points on the positive side must change from $k + 1$ to k . This is only possible if the line scans some point q on the opposite side. So \overline{qp} forms an ingoing k -segment between the two outgoing ones. An analogous argument holds for the symmetric case.

The second result is due to Lovász [6,7].

Lovász' lemma. *Let S be a set of n points and l a straight line containing no points of S and dividing S into two subsets of m and $n - m$ points, respectively. Then for any $k \leq n - 2$ the number of k -segments intersecting l is at most $2 \min\{m, n - m, k + 1\}$.*

A simple consequence of Lovász lemma is that the number of k -segments intersecting a straight line l is $O(n)$ (in fact, it is at most n). The proof of the lemma is based on a procedure that enumerates all k - and $(k - 1)$ -segments.

2. The main result

In the following we will consider point sets lying on a fixed collection of curves in the plane. Each curve can be closed or not, bounded or unbounded. We call a curve *convex* if it is a piece of the boundary of its convex hull Γ . Let γ be a closed convex curve, slightly stretching notation we will say a point is inside γ when the point is an element of Γ .

Our main result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Consider a fixed, finite collection of v pairwise disjoint convex curves in the plane. Then there is a constant $c_v > 0$ such that the number of k -sets of any set S of n points, each lying on one of the curves, is at most $4vn + c_v$.*

In fact, it will turn out that $c_v = 64(k+1)v^3$ is sufficient.

We first observe that without loss of generality we may assume that the points in S are in *general position* in the sense that no three of them lie on a straight line. In fact, let $K \subset S$ be a k -set. Then there exists a line l not containing any point of S which separates K and $S \setminus K$. Consider the arrangement of such lines for all k -sets. Then each point lies in the interior of a cell of this arrangement so that under slight perturbations of the points in S any k -set K still remains a k -set. So the points of S can be brought into general position without decreasing the number of k -sets.

The main step towards the proof of the theorem is the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t$ be closed convex curves where γ_i is contained inside γ_{i-1} , $i = 2, \dots, t$. The points of S may lie on the curves (subset C) or outside of γ_1 (subset A), see Fig. 1. Then*

$$r_C \leq (2t-1)|C| + (t+1/2)r_A,$$

where r_C denotes the number of k -segments incident to at least one point in C and r_A the number of k -segments with one endpoint in A and one in C .

Proof. Let I_k be the set of all k -segments \overline{pq} such that q is on some curve γ_i and p is outside or on γ_i . Dually O_k is the set of k -segments \overline{pq} such that q is on some curve γ_i and p is inside or on γ_i . The




Fig. 1. Nested convex curves.

segments counted by r_A are partitioned into those which are in I_k , i.e., the segments directed from some point in A towards some point in C , let their number be r_A^I , and those in O_k , let their number be r_A^O . We next produce a bound on $|I_k|$.

For a point $p \in S$ on γ_i we partition the k -segments in I_k containing p into incoming and outgoing segments. The sizes of these classes are denoted as follows:

- $\varepsilon(p) := \# k\text{-segments in } I_k \text{ ending in } p$,
- $\sigma(p) := \# k\text{-segments in } I_k \text{ starting in } p$.

Claim 1. $\sigma(p) - 1 \leq \varepsilon(p)$ for all $p \in C$.

Proof. Consider a line l tangent to γ_i at p . Without loss of generality we assume that l is vertical and γ_i is left of l . All k -segments counted by $\sigma(p)$ lead from p to some point left of l . As a consequence of the alternation lemma there are at least $\sigma(p) - 1$ k -segments starting in the right halfplane determined by l and ending in p . All these k -segments are counted by $\varepsilon(p)$. \square

The claim shows that we can match all but at most one of the k -segments leaving p towards the interior with a k -segment directed from outside towards p . Therefore, the k -segments in I_k can be partitioned into directed chains such that every chain either starts with a segment incident to some point of A or it is the unique chain spawn at p (see Fig. 2). Since a chain starting in A contains at most $t + 1$ segments and a chain starting at a point in C contains at most t segments we obtain $|I_k| \leq t|C| + (t + 1)r_A^I$.

By a completely symmetric argument we obtain $|O_k| \leq t|C| + (t + 1)r_A^O$. The bound obtained for r_C by adding the bounds on I_k and O_k together can be slightly improved. A chain of segments of the maximal length t or $t + 1$, respectively, ends with a segment leading from γ_i to γ_i , i.e., with a segment in $I_k \cap O_k$. Suppose $r_A^O \geq r_A^I$ and let O'_k be O_k without segments leading from γ_i to γ_i it follows $|O'_k| \leq (t - 1)|C| + tr_A^O$. Since $r_C \leq |I_k| + |O'_k|$ and $r_A = r_A^I + r_A^O$ we obtain the bound stated in the lemma. \square




Fig. 2. Partitioning I_k into chains; chains 1 and 2 traverse p chain 3 originates at p .




Fig. 3. Four clusters of nested convex curves.

As an immediate consequence we obtain the next proposition.

Proposition 1. *If the point set S has t convex layers, i.e., all points of S lie on t nested closed convex curves, then the number of k -segments of S is at most $(2t - 1)n$.*

Assume that the points of the set S lie on a bounded number of closed convex curves which form u nested “clusters” each of the form described in Lemma 1 (see Fig. 3). The following proposition gives a bound for the number of k -sets in this case.

Proposition 2. *If the points of S lie on u disjoint nested clusters of closed convex curves such that each cluster consists of at most t curves, then the number of k -segments of S is at most $(2t - 1)n + 6t(k + 1)(u - 2)$.*

Proof. Consider one cluster C of the u clusters and let r_C be the number of segments with at least one endpoint on C . If C can be separated from all the other clusters by h_C straight lines, then by Lovász’ lemma there can be only $2(k + 1)h_C$ segments with one endpoint in C and one endpoint outside. This is a bound for the number r_A of Lemma 1 of segments with one endpoint in C and one outside of C . Plugging this into the bound of Lemma 1 we obtain $r_C \leq (2t - 1)|C| + (t + 1/2)2(k + 1)h_C$.

For a bound on the total number of k -segments of S we need a bound on $\sum_C h_C$. Such a bound can be obtained from a result of Edelsbrunner et al. [4], they show that $u \geq 3$ convex sets can be covered by a set of u disjoint g_i -gons with $\sum_i g_i \leq 6u - 9$. A careful look at their construction shows that if we allow that the polygonal regions used for the cover are unbounded we can spare at least 3 of the edges. We obtain a set of at most $6(u - 2)$ straight edges such that a segment connecting points in different clusters crosses two of the edges. From this the bound $2(k + 1)3(u - 2)$ for the number of k -segments with endpoints in different clusters is obtained.

Altogether this shows $\sum r_C \leq (2t - 1)n + (t + 1/2)2(k + 1)3(u - 2)$. \square




Fig. 4. Vertical lines added to a set of convex curves.




Fig. 5. Curves restricted to a slab and their extension.

Proof of Theorem 1. Consider v disjoint convex curves containing all points of S . Since S is finite we can assume that all curves are bounded. To the curves we add all vertical lines through their endpoints and all vertical tangents (see Fig. 4). This produces at most $4v$ vertical lines decomposing the plane into at most $4v - 1$ slabs containing all points of S . Each slab contains at most $2v$ upward or downward convex pieces of curves (see Fig. 5). Extending the pieces of curves in a slab we transform each slab into a set of disjoint clusters of convex curves (see Fig. 5). The number of clusters in a slab and the number t of curves within each cluster are both bounded by $2v$. Overall we obtain $u \leq 8v^2 - 2v$ clusters which – by construction – can be covered by the same number of disjoint 4-gons. Very similar to the argument proving Proposition 2 we obtain the bound $(2t - 1)n + 4(t + 1/2)(k + 1)u$ for the number of k -segments. Replacing t and u by the above estimates we obtain the bound $4vn + 64(k + 1)v^3$. \square

3. Final remarks

We have shown in Theorem 1 that the number of k -sets of a set of n points in the plane lying on a constant number v of convex curves is linear in n . Even for the case $v = 2$ this is a nontrivial fact.

Another interesting consequence of our result is that planar sets of points lying on a fixed set of bounded degree *algebraic curves* have a linear number of k -sets. This is because each algebraic curve can be decomposed into constantly many convex curves by splitting it at its inflection points.

The question remains open whether with similar techniques similar results can be obtained in higher dimensions.

References

- [1] P.K. Agarwal, B. Aronov, T.M. Chan, M. Sharir, On levels in arrangements of lines, segments, planes, and triangles, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 19 (1998) 315–331.
- [2] A. Andrzejak, B. Aronov, S. Har-Peled, R. Seidel, E. Welzl, Results on k -sets and j -facets via continuous motions, in: *Proc. 14th Annu. ACM Sympos. Comput. Geom.*, 1998.
- [3] T.K. Dey, Improved bounds on planar k -sets and related problems, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 19 (1998) 373–382.
- [4] H. Edelsbrunner, A.D. Robison, X.-J. Shen, Covering convex sets with non-overlapping polygons, *Discrete Math.* 81 (1990) 153–164.
- [5] H. Edelsbrunner, E. Welzl, On the number of line separations of a finite set in the plane, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 40 (1985) 15–29.
- [6] P. Erdős, L. Lovász, A. Simmons, E.G. Straus, Dissection graphs of planar point sets, in: *A Survey of Combinatorial Theory*, North-Holland, 1973, pp. 139–149.
- [7] L. Lovász, On the number of halving lines, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math.* 14 (1971) 107–108.
- [8] J. Pach, W. Steiger, E. Szemerédi, An upper bound on the number of planar k -sets, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 7 (1992) 109–123.
- [9] M. Sharir, On k -sets in arrangements of curves and surfaces, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* 6 (1991) 593–613.
- [10] G. Tóth, Point sets with many k -sets, unpublished, 1999.